

## LIBERAL PERSPECTIVE REPORT: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

NO: 19 · MARCH 2021



### STRUCTURAL REFORMS IN TURKEY

### JUDICIAL REFORM RECOMMENDATIONS TO ENSURE THE RULE OF LAW

Assoc. Prof. Ali Rıza Çoban







## LIBERAL PERSPECTIVE REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

No: 19, March 2021

STRUCTURAL REFORMS IN TURKEY

JUDICIAL REFORM RECOMMENDATIONS

TO ENSURE THE RULE OF LAW

Assoc. Prof. Ali Riza Çoban

© Freedom Research Association, 2021

This study was obtained by taking into account the opinions expressed in the workshop titled "The Need for Structural Reform in Judiciary" on July 04,2020 which is as a part of the 'Structural Reforms in Turkey Project' conducted by Freedom Research Association with the support of National Endowment for Democracy and Friedrich Naumann Foundation – Turkey Office

Any views expressed in this study do not reflect the institutional view of the Freedom Research Association, the National Endowment for Democracy and Friedrich Naumann Foundation -Turkey Office; belongs to the author.

#### **Freedom Research Association**



ozgurlukarastirmalari 🎳 ozgurlukar

Design:
Serbest Kitaplar
serbestkitaplar.com



### Assoc. Prof. Ali Rıza Çoban

Mr. Çoban graduated from Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Law in 1992; completed his master's degree at the same university and his doctorate in the Facult of Law at Leeds University, England in 2002. He did his lawyer internship in Izmir and was a judge candidate for a while. In 1994, he was appointed to Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences as a research assistant in the field of legal sciences. After his doctorate, he started to work as a faculty member in Kırıkkale University Faculty of Law, Department of Constitutional Law, in 2008 he was appointed as a reporter of the supreme court. With the acceptance of the individual application, he was appointed as the head of reporter in Departments in 2011. He played a role in the establishment and implementation of the individual application system. He became an associate professor of Constitutional Law in 2013. He left the Constitutional Court in 2016 and was dismissed from the academy in 2017 by decree-law.

He has published books and articles in the field of constitutional law and fundamental rights. Property rights, freedom of expression, constitution making and constitutional amendments, rule of law, constitutional theory and constitutional jurisdiction are areas of special interest. He has taught Constitutional Law, Human Rights and State Theory at various universities.

He has been active in civil society since 2017. He took part in various projects in areas such as freedom of expression, rule of law, and judicial independence.

The Project of Structural Reforms in Turkey has been launched by Freedom Research Association with support of the National Endowment for Democracy and Friedrich Naumann Foundation Turkey Office to identify and concretize existing problems in the mechanisms of the *legislation*, *Judiciary*, *Public Procurement Regime*, *Public Personnel Regime and Media Independence*, which are the main political structures in Turkey. Within the context of the project, alongside discussing existing issues in the relevant institutions, it has been focused on the steps that immediately need to be taken and proposed advisory solutions for structural reforms. The report, which is titled "Judicial Reform Recommendations to Ensure the Rule of Law" prepared by Assoc. Prof. Ali Rıza Çoban evaluated the main problems and emerging risks within the framework of constitutional basis, checks and balances mechanisms, and legal principles.

Modern constitutions aim to distribute political power among different bodies and mechanisms at the horizontal and vertical levels. This horizontal power-sharing which embodied in the principle of the separation of powers, in essence, means that the functions of law-making, ruling, and judging belong to different bodies. Supervising the actions of the legislative and executive bodies that comply with laws is under the authority of the judiciary. The objective of supervising the compliance with the constitutionality of legislative acts can only be ensured with an independent and effective constitutional control. For this reason, the body that will control constitutionality must have legal and structural guarantees that can act independently of the legislature and the executive mechanism.



Judicial independence constitutes the basis of the system of checks and balances. If there is no independent judiciary to balance other bodies, there would not be a system of checks and balances. That is why the independence of the judiciary is an indispensable condition of the rule of law. If a step is to be taken towards the restoration of the rule of law, the first thing to do is to ensure the impartiality and independence of the judiciary. Judicial independence refers to ensuring judges' impartiality and is an objective of the courts during the decision of cases. The only independent judiciary can effectively enforce the rights of all segments of society, particularly vulnerable and unpopular groups. The principle of independence is a fundamental requirement for the judiciary body to guarantee democracy and human rights in general.

To ensure the impartiality of the judiciary, on the one hand, it is necessary to ensure pluralism within the judiciary structure itself and administrative and supervisory bodies, and on the other hand, provide transparency in judicial processes and the justification of judicial decisions. When pluralism is achieved in the judiciary body, the possibility of acting ideologically in courts as a committee would be decreased. Ensuring pluralism in the judiciary courts and well-justification in decisions depend on the level of merit and transparency in appointment and promotion processes at all levels.

Unfortunately, today Turkey's judiciary is considered to be lost its status as a guarantee of the rule of law on a large scale. It is necessary to state that the judicial system in Turkey has literally never been considered to be qualified, independent and impartial in the past as well, however, it has not encountered such a dysfunctional and underqualified as today. In general, judges in Turkey have not been regarded to be agents, who guarantee individual rights and freedoms, and exercise the law equally to everyone, rather than tended to protect the state's interests. Because the concept of the rule of law is perceived as legality subject to the state. Unfortunately, the rule of law is not perceived as a notion that precedes the state; state comes first; however, it is actually an understanding prioritizing individual rights than the existence of the state, and therefore the state should be subject to.



Throughout history, including today's the judiciary systems that depend on the ideological fractions, on the one hand, it has created an ideological caderisation within the system, which prevents ensuring pluralism. Furthermore, it turned the judiciary into a political tutelage body. These practices have made the judiciary a force that needs to be captured and

oad.org.tr 2

controlled by rival social and political groups and powers. The mean of controlling the judiciary has been seen as taking over the supreme judicial council, which is the governing and supervisory body of the judiciary. The structure and power of the supreme judicial council have become a vital area of political conflict, because it is a mechanism that make decisions on the judges and prosecutors' appointment, nomination, promotion, and disciplinary affairs, and has the authority to control both judges and prosecutors working in the courts of instance and members to be elected to higher courts. The political pressure of the council has played an important role in the making and amendment of the constitution.

When reviewing the prestigious and creditable indexes, it can be seen that Turkey is experiencing a serious decline. In fact, the situation is much worse in some sub-indicators of the indexes. At this point, it needs to be stated that the rule of law is not only a matter of routine operations of those who work in the courthouses but an overall national issue that requires to be well-considered and designed by the authorities. Because it is obvious that there is a direct connection between the decline of the rule of law and the economic indicators, investments, unemployment, quality of all public services like education, health, agriculture transportation.

Following the analysis of current challenges of the judiciary in Turkey, practical-oriented suggestions have been conducted in the report. According to the proposal in the report, in order to ensure the rule of law, the judicial structure should be reorganized in a way that guarantees the competence, impartiality, and independence of the judiciary. The other recommendations are being followed;

- To have qualified lawyers, the approach to the education of the law faculties and degrees needs to be reorganized.
- The preparation training phase of the legal professions of judges, prosecutors, and lawyers should be revised.
- Training on law practices combined with internship should be carried out by professional trainers based on the scientific criteria and merits.
- The Justice Academic Institute, which should have administrative and financial autonomy with its own permanent faculty members need to legally be established by law based on. The academic management of the institute shall be relevant to all diverse stakeholders.



- ◆ To have qualified lawyers, the approach to the education of the law faculties and degrees need to be reorganized.
- It should be ensured that judicial professions should have qualified and sufficient carrier experiences and possess the necessary level of merit and educational degree before getting the positions.
- Recruitment processes should be provided according to objective and meritable criteria based on equal opportunities and equality before the law.
- A minimum of three years of work experience must be required to be able to take the judge exam.
- The professions of judicature and prosecution should be completely separated.
- Tenure of judges is the most important aspect of guaranteeing judicial independence. Judges must ensure that they will not be subject to any sanctions or investigations because of their decisions. The correctness or wrongness of the decisions should only be evaluated through legal control.
- Unless the judges themselves request it, no changes should be made in their authorities and positions. Changes in authority, especially as to be considered an intervention to their decisions, should be prohibited.
- Dismissal should only be possible in terms of crimes that are clearly clarified by law and are incompatible with the requirements of the profession and as a result of a fair trial.
- The Council of Judges and Prosecutors Councils should separately be established to ensure the administration and supervision of the judiciary. The majority of the members of the boards of the council should be elected by their colleagues and procedures that are based on the pluralistic representation approach.
- Supervisory power of the Constitutional Court should be preserved.
   The individual application mechanism should be continued.
- Membership selection criteria to the Constitutional Court should be based on merit and pluralism.



oad.org.tr 4

Ensure that members of Constitutional Court are directly elected by the legislative, judicial and executive bodies and autonomous institutions such as bar associations and universities as well as a selection of the most qualified candidates in the elections should be made by qualified majority.



# LIBERAL PERSPECTIVE REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

No: 19, March 2021

STRUCTURAL REFORMS
IN TURKEY

THE SEPARATION
OF POWERS, CHECK
AND BALANCES AND
LEGISLATIVE AND
EXECUTIVE RELATIONS

Assoc. Prof. Ali Rıza Çoban







f ozgurlukarastirmalari 🎳 ozgurlukar